1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 ANTHONY R. BOYKIN, 10 Plaintiff, Case No. 3:06-CV-00011-PMP-(RAM) 11 VS. ORDER J. BAINBRIDGE, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 On January 22, 2007, the Honorable Robert A. McQuaid, United States Magistrate Judge, entered a Report and Recommendation (#55) regarding Defendants' 17 Motion to Dismiss (#15). Judge McQuaid's Report sets forth the following 18 recommendation: 19 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that as to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. #15) the District Judge enter an order (1) 20 21 GRANTING the motion for summary judgment on Count I, (2) DENYING the 22 motion for summary judgment as to Plaintiff's Count III deliberate indifference claims, (3) GRANTING summary judgment on Count II, (4) 23 GRANTING summary judgment as to Plaintiff'S deprivation of food claims 24 25 from Count III, (5) DENYING summary judgment as to Plaintiff's excessive 26 force claims, (6) GRANTING summary judgment on Plaintiff's claims under 27 Nev. Rev. Stat. 200.371 and 200.471 in Count III, (7) GRANTING the motion 28 for summary judgment as to Count V (transfer of money to White Pine County

Public Defender), (8) GRANTING the motion for summary judgment on the claims of retaliation, in both counts III and IV, and (9) DENYING summary judgment on Count IV. Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the pleadings (Doc. #22) should be denied.

On January 25, 2007, Defendants filed Objections to Magistrate Judge McQuaid's Report and Recommendation (#59). The only issue raised in Defendant's Objections is a clarification that the individual identified as "Defendant Hammons" is not a party to the action as she has never been served by Plaintiff and, as a result, counsel for Defendant has sought no relief on behalf of Ms. Hammons. Counsel for Defendants ask that any Order sustaining Magistrate Judge McQuaid's Report and Recommendation exclude any conclusions of law regarding the unserved Defendant identified as "Defendant Hammons."

The Court having conducted a de novo review of the foregoing, and in accord with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-2, the Court finds that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge McQuaid (#51) with respect to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (#15) should be sustained and the relief recommended therein is hereby adopted by the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that to the extent Defendant's Objections (#59) seek clarification that the Report and Recommendation entered by Magistrate Judge McQuaid (#51) shall not constitute any conclusion of law with respect to unserved Defendant, "Defendant Hammons," said Objection is also sustained.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 14, 2007

28

United States District Judge